



British Journal of Surgery

BJS

## The challenges of surgical research in children

Journal:	<i>British Journal of Surgery</i>
Manuscript ID	BJS-1369-Sep-17
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Leading Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	25-Sep-2017
Complete List of Authors:	Losty, Paul; Institute of Child Health, University of Liverpool, Department of Paediatric Surgery
Keywords:	

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

# The challenges of surgical research in children

Paul D. Losty

Paul D. Losty MD FRCSI FRCS(Eng) FRCS(Ed) FRCS(Paed) FEBPS

Professor of Paediatric Surgery

Division of Child Health

Alder Hey Children's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

University of Liverpool, UK

It is generally agreed that advances in surgical care are accelerated by high quality research, and in particular randomized clinical trials. BJS is seldom sent papers reporting controlled trials in children, perhaps reflecting the particular difficulties of conducting research in this age group. These include the ethics of research in children, and issues with the consent process.

It is suggested that the interests of individual children should be balanced with the necessity to conduct research on specific children to benefit children more generally; thus research in children need not be personally beneficial or in the child's best interests. Parents / carers have legitimate authority to make non-harmful decisions about their child in line with their own values, but that children have a right to be respected in their own right. These two obligations are balanced by seeking consensus with children and their parents about the child's involvement in research, i.e. neither the parent nor child strongly opposes involvement.

1  
2  
3 In the UK, The Clinical Trials Regulations (Medicines for Human Use (Clinical  
4 Trial) Regulations 2004 (amended in 2006) define a child (minor) as someone  
5 under the age of 16 years. The regulations specify that for a minor to participate  
6 in a clinical trial, a person with parental responsibility must give informed  
7 consent. For parents who want only the best for their child, it must be difficult to  
8 hear that a clinician has equipoise about two different intervention options.  
9 Informed consent may be tricky when trying to explain these concepts to both  
10 parent and child, but it is good practice to gain the child's agreement to take part  
11 in the clinical trial, where possible, regardless of age.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 This month's edition of BJS features four articles of topical interest to paediatric  
27 surgeons and the allied surgical speciality communities. They cover four major  
28 themes in paediatric surgery research. First, and perhaps most obvious, children  
29 and adults are different. Diederer and colleagues from the Netherlands highlight  
30 outcome metrics for paediatric and adult patients undergoing restorative  
31 ileoanal pouch surgery. <sup>1</sup> It was thought that outcomes of restorative surgery  
32 were worse in children, but in fact this large Dutch study suggests late failure  
33 and pouch outcome are similar in children and adults. The paediatric cohort had  
34 more anastomotic pouch strictures, suggesting that focussing on surgical  
35 technique specific to children, such as hand sewn anastomosis, rather than  
36 stapling, could optimize their outcomes. Other suggestions include paediatric  
37 and adult colorectal surgeons working in partnership, and in high volume  
38 centres, particularly for rare conditions such as inflammatory bowel disease in  
39 children. This structure also facilitates transitional care, when children pass from  
40 paediatric to adult surgeons, robustly co-ordinated by gastroenterologists ,  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

surgeons , nursing staff / stoma therapists and psychologists in multidisciplinary clinics. <sup>2</sup>

Technical papers are also rare in paediatric practice. Gastrostomy is a valuable adjunct in the nutritional management of adult and paediatric patients, traditionally placed using endoscopic assistance. Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG) was pioneered by Dr Michael Gauderer a paediatric surgeon working at the Rainbow Babies and Children’s Hospital Cleveland Ohio, USA in the 1980’s.<sup>3,4</sup> In the second paper this month, surgeons and radiologists working at Great Ormond Street Hospital London, UK compared PEG with radiologically inserted gastrostomy in a randomized clinical trial. <sup>5</sup> Both techniques were similarly effective, with low complication rates when outcomes were examined critically. Thus decisions about which technique to use, with appropriately trained staff (surgeon or radiologist), may depend more on resource availability, mindful that the occasional complication (pneumoperitoneum, inadvertent colon puncture, gastrocolic fistula, abdominal sepsis) would require paediatric surgical expertise.

Hand injuries are common in childhood, particularly traumatic nail bed injury when, for example, a finger is trapped in a door. Hand surgeons have long debated the merits, or otherwise, of retaining the injured nail plate to protect the underlying nail matrix. Greig et al report early clinical outcomes of a feasibility study to compare these alternatives (NINJA trial). <sup>6</sup> This pilot randomized study has shown that a definitive trial is possible, and has led to modifications in design that should encourage research funders.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 The final paediatric paper this month does not involve clinical outcomes, but  
6  
7 equally important communication issues. Young patients coming to hospital for  
8  
9 surgical operations experience anxiety and stress which may affect psychological  
10  
11 well being in the short medium and long term. Parents are likewise affected, and  
12  
13 experience enormous distress when their child is admitted to hospital. Ryu and  
14  
15 colleagues from Korea have employed the virtual reality cartoon character  
16  
17 Pororo the Penguin as an interactive intelligence tool to chaperone the young  
18  
19 patient through their hospital journey.<sup>7</sup> The positive findings from this study,  
20  
21 showing significant reduction in distress, reinforce the actions of a number of  
22  
23 institutions worldwide including Alder Hey Children's Hospital Liverpool, UK to  
24  
25 employ intelligence tools to help the child and their family through a hospital  
26  
27 admission. No doubt there is much more to come in the future with the use of  
28  
29 artificial intelligence tools in modern healthcare.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 Clinical trials are much needed in children and like many other surgical  
38  
39 disciplines, paediatric surgeons are only just beginning to improve the evidence  
40  
41 base.<sup>8, 9, 10</sup> Cancer trials in young patients have been the leading example, where  
42  
43 national and international partnerships, together with active clinical networks  
44  
45 can drive progress and advances in treatment. For example, in the UK the  
46  
47 British Association of Paediatric Surgeons aided by Royal College of Surgeons of  
48  
49 England are actively engaged in ambitious strategies to promote research  
50  
51 leadership roles to support, design and progress trial outputs. Reporting trials in  
52  
53 high quality surgical journals like BJS enables wide dissemination of information  
54  
55 to the benefit of paediatric surgical practice.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**References**

1. Diederer K, Sahami SS, Tabbers MM, et al. Outcome after restorative proctocolectomy and ileal-anal anastomosis in children and adults. BJS 2017

2. Sampat K, Losty PD. Transitional care and paediatric surgery. BJS 2016; 103: 163-164.

3. Gauderer MW. Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy – 20 years later : a historical perspective. J Pediatr Surg 2001;36: 217-219.

4. Losty P, Surana R, Fitzgerald RJ. Preliminary experience with percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy in childhood. Irish Medical Journal 1994; 87:106-108.

5. Singh RR, Nah SA, Roebuck DJ, et al. Double-blind randomized clinical trial of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy versus radiologically inserted gastrostomy in children. BJS 2017

6. Greig A, Gardiner MD, Sierakowski A, et al. Nail bed INJury assessment pilot (NINJA-P) randomised controlled trial of replacing or discarding the nail plate after nail bed repair in children. BJS 2017

- 1  
2  
3 7. Ryu JH, Park SJ, Park JW, et al. Randomised clinical trial of immersive virtual  
4 reality tour of the operating theatre in children before anaesthesia. BJS 2017  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10 8. Shamberger R. Co-operative group trials in pediatric oncology : the surgeon's  
11 role. J Pediatr Surg 2013; 48: 1- 13.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 9. Salim A, Mullassery D. Losty PD. Quality of systematic reviews and meta-  
17 analyses published in paediatric surgery. J Pediatr Surg 2017; Aug 8. Pii: S0022-  
18 3468(17)30464-5.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25 10. Losty PD. Evidence-based paediatric surgical oncology. Semin Pediatr Surg  
26 2016; 25: 333-335.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60